

3.2.2.3.4.8 Know your enemies, and your allies

Most men who are either rich, respected, or renowned, or who otherwise have a high sexual market value, have wrong ideas about who their principle enemies are?

Most poor men, or those who otherwise have a low sexual market value, are not so much in danger to become victims of misconceptions about their enemies.

The worst enemies of men with a high sexual market value often are their wives. For men of low sexual market value, wives, typically are not enemies but often even genuine friends (if their wives, too, have a low sexual market value).

For rich men, especially those who marry poor women, wives are enemies because in any conflict, they cannot win. Most rich men lose more wealth to a wife in a single divorce procedure than to robbers or muggers in their whole lives.

And even for rich men who do not go through a divorce, wives, sooner or later, are enemies. These men often are aware that in case of a conflict, they stand to lose a lot, and for this reason, they modify their behavior so that a severe conflict does not arise.

Especially for men who are famous or respected, the options typically are: avoid conflict with your wife and maintain your status and position, or force your freedom and pay for it with having to bear a scandal, and with a loss of your reputation, or career.

In their relationship towards rich men or men who otherwise have a high sexual market value, women often are predators. Their bait is love and sexual satisfaction. And if they have entrapped a prey, they will likely not let him off the hook just like that.

Poor men, and men who otherwise have a low social and sexual market value typically will not realize the predator attitude of women, especially sexually attractive women. Why? Because they are no worthwhile prey. They do not experience entrapping games, because they are not worth to be entrapped.

I am a man, and while, in the above paragraphs, I analyse that for men of high sexual market value, their wives often are their worst enemies, I do not just feel sympathy with those men of high sexual market value who are entrapped by women.

The reason is that, as far as sexual market value ranking is concerned, men always are my competitors. Therefore, those women, who restrict other men of high sexual market value, are my natural allies when, for me, it comes to protecting my own sexual market value.

And thus, I am not biased against predator women, who intend to use their sexual attractiveness to get out of rich men whatever they can.

Rich men, and men of otherwise high social value, have many enemies, not just women looking for worthwhile prey, but also all those men who compete with rich men and men of high social value (in other words: other men who compete to become preferred prey of women with a high sexual market value).

The natural allies of women of high sexual market value are other men: those of lower sexual market value, who are envious of men of high sexual market value. Women targetting rich prey can, and often will, appeal to lesser men whenever they need help against those men they have entrapped.

While for men of high sexual market value, their wives, as well as lesser men, are natural enemies, who are the natural enemies of women of lower sexual market value? The answer is; husbands of higher sexual market value (who may want to desert wives of whom they have grown sexually bored), as well as other females, girls or women, who have a high sexual market value (and may target other women's husbands for their good value).

All the above considerations can be applied to analysing why Islamic societies are a miserable alternative for females with a high sexual market value, while they hold considerable appeal to those women whose sexual market value has become, or has always been, low.

What women of comparatively low sexual market value (aging, with

children) are primarily interested in, is their capability, or right, to maintain hold of that man whom they captured at a time when their own sexual market value was still high.

In this respect, not only men who want to be free are contrary to a woman's interests but also other (younger, more attractive) women who are after rich and socially valuable men, even if these have already been locked into a relationship.

People in Western societies often believe that all women in Islamic countries should unanimously be in favor of a Western social, and sexual, order. But often, they aren't.

The reason is that while Islamic societies heavily restrict the opportunities of women with a high sexual market value, it also protects women with a low sexual market value. It does so in that it greatly restricts the sexual opportunities of husbands. Indeed, for most men in Islamic countries, there are fewer options for promiscuity than there are for men in non-Islamic countries. In Islamic countries, women of low sexual market value (married with children) feel a natural affinity to their religion, not just because it provides comfort in face of one's approaching death, but also because it restricts their husbands from womanizing.

Thus, in many Islamic countries, a large number of women are staunchly pro-Islam, and this is the case even in modern Islamic countries such as Malaysia. They would not be if it were not in their sexual interests.

I have referred earlier in this article to a domain that gives advice to women on how to entrap a man of high sexual market value.

An important domain that concerns itself with the interests of men is Duncan Rhyne's www.AsiaLove.org. It provides advice on how Western men who are just of average or rather low sexual market value in their own countries, can enormously boost their sexual market value by relocating to an Asian country where there then new sexual market value qualifies them for relationships of true love and sex with the best women a country has to offer.